## Sample questions

Work on the exercises 8.1, 7.2, 7.7, 6.5, 4.10 in the text book.

1. The relationship between Unemployment rate and Inflation rate is examined using quarterly data for the period, 1962:1 – 1967:4; (24 observations). The parameters of the relationship are thought to vary between quarters and the researcher defined to following model:

$$Unemp_{t} = \beta_{1} + \delta_{1}S_{1t} + \delta_{2}S_{2t} + \delta_{3}S_{3t} + \beta_{2}INF_{t} + \varepsilon_{t}$$

where  $Unemp_t$  and  $INF_t$  are the Unemployment rate and Inflation rate respectively. The <u>seasonal</u> <u>dummies</u> are defined as  $S_{1t}$  takes the value of 1 for the first-quarter observations and 0 otherwise;  $S_{2t}$  takes the value of 1 for the second-quarter observations and 0 otherwise;  $S_{3t}$  takes the value of 1 for the third-quarter observations and 0 otherwise. The estimation results are given in the following table:

-----

LS // Dependent Variable is UNEMP

Date: 12/06/04 Time: 22:52

Sample: 1962:1 1967:4
Included observations: 24

Variable	Coefficient	Std.Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	7.874718	0.112034	70.28845	0.0000
S1	-0.029751	0.069246	-0.429646	0.6723
S2	-0.134887	0.069452	-1.942165	0.0671
s3	-0.163719	0.069295	-2.362642	0.0290
INF	-0.211747	0.006710	-31.55472	0.0000
R-squared	0.981417	Mean depe	endent var 4	 4.783333
Adjusted R-squared	0.977504	S.D. depe	endent var (	796086
S.E. of regression	0.119402	Akaike i	nfo criter-4	1.067475
Sum squared resid	0.270878	Schwarz	criterion -3	3.822047
Log likelihood	19.75518	F-statis	tic 2	250.8546
Durbin-Watson stat	1.407554	Prob (F-s	tatistic) (	0.00000

- a. According to economic theory what is the expected sign for the coefficient of Inflation and why?
- **b.** Write the predicted (fitted) equation for Unemployment ( $Un\hat{e}mp_t$ ) in the fourth quarter according to the above estimation results?
- c. Is inflation rate variable a significant variable in explaining unemployment? Test the hypothesis.
- **d.** Test the hypothesis that there is no statistical difference between the first quarter and fourth quarter unemployment equations.
- **e.** Test the hypothesis that there is no statistical difference between the second quarter and third quarter unemployment equations. (COVARIANCE matrix is necessary)
- f. Test the hypothesis that seasons affect the relationship between unemployment rate and inflation. [For each hypothesis testing, state the null and alternative, the test statistic, the critical value, your conclusion and your interpretation]

------

LS // Dependent Variable is UNEMP

Date: 12/06/04 Time: 23:08

Sample: 1962:1 1967:4
Included observations: 24

Variable	CoefficienS	td. Errort-Statistic	Prob.
C INF	7.765891 -0.209866	0.107917 71.96140 0.007353 -28.54128	0.0000
R-squared Adjusted R-squared S.E. of regression Sum squared resid Log likelihood Durbin-Watson stat	0.973703 0.972508 0.131997 0.383311 15.58904 1.586513	Mean dependent var S.D. dependent var Akaike info criter- Schwarz criterion F-statistic Prob(F-statistic)	0.796086 -3.970297

\_\_\_\_\_

LS // Dependent Variable is UNEMP

Date: 12/06/04 Time: 23:09

Sample: 1962:1 1967:4
Included observations: 24

	========	=======		=======
Variable	CoefficienS	Std. Errort	-Statistic	Prob.
С	4.783333	0.162500	29.43581	0.0000
R-squared	0.000000	-	endent var	
Adjusted R-squared S.E. of regression	0.000000 0.796086	_	endent var nfo criter-	
Sum squared resid	14.57633	Schwarz	criterion -	-0.366236
Log likelihood	-28.07067 	Durbin-W	atson stat	0.047920

**2.** Suppose for explaining the response of variable  $Y_i$  we have a set of four explanatory variables, namely  $X_2, X_3, X_4$  and  $X_5$ . The following two models are considered estimated with 20 observations:

**Model 1:** 
$$Y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_{2i} + \beta_3 X_{3i} + \beta_4 X_{4i} + \beta_5 X_{5i} + \varepsilon_i$$
;

Model 2: 
$$Y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_{2i} + \beta_3 X_{3i} + \varepsilon_i$$
:

When the models are estimated the following results are obtained:

$$\hat{Y}_i = 14 - 0.642X_{2i} + 0.396X_{3i}$$
 ;  $R^2 = 0.837$ ,  $\hat{s} = 3.072$   
 $\hat{Y}_i = 14.6 - 0.611X_{2i} + 0.439X_{3i} - 0.08X_{4i} - 0.064X_{5i}$ ;  $R^2 = 0.845$ ,  $\hat{s} = 3.190$ 

- a) Discuss briefly why we can not use  $R^2$  to compare the two models.
- b) Compute the SSR, (Sum of Squared Residuals) for both models and SST (sum of squared total).
- c) Compute  $\overline{R}^2$ , (adjusted  $R^2$ ) for both models. Use this to decide which model is better.

d) Use of formal test, ie a F-test to check whether the variables  $X_4$  and  $X_5$  contribute sufficiently in model 1.

## 3. Short-answers

Choose three questions from the following list.

- Define and illustrate graphically a distribution that is consistent and a distribution that is inconsistent
- b. For sample with each observation described by the following equation  $Y_t = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_t + \varepsilon_t$  and a population regression equation,  $E(Y_t) = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_t$ , and the sample regression equation,  $Y_t = \hat{\beta}_1 + \hat{\beta}_2 X_t$ , **describe** and **show graphically**, the concepts of Total Sum of Squares, (SST), Explained Sum of Squares (SSE) and Residual Sum of Squares (SSR) and  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .
- c. In the regression  $\log(Y_i) = \alpha + \beta \log(X_i) + \varepsilon_i$ , how will you define the elasticity of Y with respect to X.
- d. Given the following regression equations which can be compared using R<sup>2</sup> statistics to choose the best regression and why? Explain.

i. 
$$Y_t = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_t + \varepsilon_t$$
 estimated with 50 observations

ii. 
$$Y_t = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_t + \gamma Z_i + \varepsilon_t$$
 estimated with 25 observations.

iii. 
$$\log(Y_t) = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \log(X_t) + \varepsilon_t$$
 estimated with 50 observations

iv. 
$$Y_t = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_t + \gamma (1/Z_t) + \varepsilon_t$$
 estimated with 25 observations.

- e. When can you use adjusted R<sup>2</sup> instead?
- **4.** Consider the model  $S_t = \alpha + \beta Y_t + \delta A_t + \lambda P_t + e_t$  where  $S_t$  is the sales of a firm in district t,  $Y_t$  is the total income in the state, and  $A_t$  is the amount of money spent by the company advertising and  $P_t$  is the population in that district (t = 1, 2, ..., 50). You suspect that the random error term  $e_t$  is heteroscedastic with variance  $\sigma^2$  that is related to the Advertising expenditure  $A_t$ .

Assume that you have the following information about the error structure. **For each of the following cases**, explain how you would revise the estimation technique to obtain estimates that are BLUE. Write an hypothetical dependent variable and independent variable set for each assumption and **prove** that errors of the transformed model is acually homoskedastic.

i) 
$$\operatorname{var}(e_t) = \sigma_t^2 = \sigma^2 A_t$$
.

ii) 
$$var(e_t) = \sigma_t^2 = \sigma^2 (1/A_t^4)$$

iii) 
$$var(e_t) = \sigma_t^2 = \sigma^2 (P_t^2 + A_t^2)$$

iv) 
$$std(e_t) = \sigma_t = \sigma A_t$$

5. Consider the model which explains logarithm of output,  $LnY_t$  as a function of logarithm of labor,  $LnL_t$  and logarithm of capital,  $LnK_t$  inputs:

$$LnY_t = \beta_1 + \beta_2 LnL_t + \beta_3 LnK_t + \varepsilon_t$$
.

Suppose that least square estimation on 25 observations on these variables yield the following results:

$$L\widehat{n}Y_{t} = 0.415 + 1.194LnL_{t} + 0.217LnK_{t}$$

$$s^2 = 0.0076818 \qquad \qquad R^2 = 0.9451$$

$$V\hat{a}r(\hat{\beta}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0232 & -0.0354 & 0.0124 \\ -0.0354 & 0.0692 & -0.0294 \\ 0.0124 & -0.0294 & 0.0140 \end{bmatrix}$$

- a. Find the 95% interval estimate for  $\beta_2$ .
- b. Use a t-test to test the hypothesis  $H_0: \beta_2 \geq 1$  against the alternative hypothesis  $H_1: \beta_2 < 1$  .
- c. Test the hypothesis that  $H_0: \beta_2 + \beta_3 = 1$  against the alternative of not equal to one.
- d. Find the total variation, unexplained variation and explained variation for this model.
- e. Test the hypothesis that  $H_0$  :  $\beta_2=\beta_3=0$  against the alternative of not equal to zero

[Hint: SSResidual of the model  $LnY_t = \beta_1 + \varepsilon_t$  is equal to the SST of

$$LnY_t = \beta_1 + \beta_2 LnL_t + \beta_3 LnK_t + \varepsilon_t$$
].